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ABSTRACT. – The caridean shrimp family Thalassocarididae Bate, 1888, collected during the Philippine PANGLAO 2004 expedition consists of two genera and two species, namely Chlorotocoides spinicauda (De Man, 1902) and Thalassocaris crinita (Dana, 1852). In contradiction to the previously held belief that the genus Thalassocaris is pelagic, all 21 specimens of T. crinita were collected from coral reef rubble or other benthic habitats. The colouration of these two species is illustrated for the first time. A review of previous records of T. crinita is presented, which concludes that the species appears to live in benthic habitats, occasionally becoming planktonic at night.
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INTRODUCTION

The caridean shrimp family Thalassocarididae Bate, 1888, is rather species poor, comprised of four species in two genera. Notwithstanding the fact that the family has a reasonably wide geographical distribution in the Indian and Pacific Oceans (Gopalo Menon & Williamson, 1971; Chace, 1985), records for all species are rather scarce (Li & Komai, 2003).

Here we report on the material of this family obtained during the PANGLAO 2004 expedition, an international effort to document the marine fauna in a biodiversity hotspot in the Philippines (Bouchet et al., 2009). Although relatively poor in number of specimens and species, the colouration of the two species is illustrated for the first time. The specimens also allow a discussion of the likely ecology of T. crinita.

The measurements given are post-orbital carapace length expressed in mm. Material is deposited in the collections of the National Taiwan Ocean University (NTOU), the Oxford University Museum of Natural History (OUMNH) and the Museum national d’Histoire naturelle, Paris (MNHN). The abbreviations before the stations refer to collecting methods, as follows: B, coral brushing; L, lumum lumum and T, trawl (also see Bouchet et al., 2009). Full synonymies for both species can be found in Chace (1985).

Chlorotocoides spinicauda (De Man, 1902)
(Fig. 1A)

Material examined. – Stn. T4, Panglao Is., Bolod, 9°33.0’N 123°48.5’E, 82 m, 1 Jun.2004, 1 male 9.0 mm (NTOU).

Remarks. – The single specimen has a rostral dentition of 8/2 and agrees well with previous descriptions by De Man (1902) and Chace (1985). The species has been previously recorded from the Andaman and Maldive Islands, the South China Sea, Indonesia, and the Philippines (Chace, 1985; Li, 2006) at depths of 15–141 m.

Colouration. – Body overall pinkish translucent and covered with minute red dots (Fig. 1A). Rostrum with alternating, incomplete orange-pink bars. Eyes dark brown. A distinctive yellowish ring visible inside the anterodistal part of the carapace.

Thalassocaris crinita (Dana, 1852)
(Fig. 1B)

Material examined. – Stn. B2, Panglao Is., Alona reef, 9°33.0’N 123°46.5’E, 5 m, 31 May 2004, 1 ovig. female 4.2 mm (MNNH); Stn. B4, Panglao Is., BBC Point, 9°33.2’N 123°48.3’E, 24 m, 1 Jun.2004, 1 ovig. female 4.9 mm, 1 female 3.7 mm, 2 juveniles
distribution in the Indo-Pacific (Hanamura, 1987). Being reduced to a tubercle only. This species has a wide range, with in the majority of specimens the post-orbital tooth in rostral dentition was relatively minor, being 1+7–9/2–3, where it is far less wide, and more parallel sided. Variation in the basal portion of the rostrum was most noticeable in juveniles, which is crenulated, although to a varying degree. Variation in the pereiopod. In the majority of the present material, the merus of the second species is somewhat variable with regard to the basal portion and the crenulation on the merus of the second pereiopod. In the majority of specimens the post-orbital tooth being reduced to a tubercle only. This species has a wide distribution in the Indo-Pacific (Hanamura, 1987).

Remarks. – The specimens agree closely with previous descriptions (Gopalo Menon & Williamson, 1971; Chace, 1985), but as already remarked upon by Chace (1985), the species is somewhat variable with regard to the basal portion of the rostrum and the crenulation on the merus of the second pereiopod. In the majority of the present material, the merus is crenulated, although to a varying degree. Variation in the basal portion of the rostrum was most noticeable in juveniles, where it is far less wide, and more parallel sided. Variation in rostral dentition was relatively minor, being 1+7–9/2–3, with in the majority of specimens the post-orbital tooth being reduced to a tubercle only. This species has a wide distribution in the Indo-Pacific (Hanamura, 1987).

**Colouration.** – Body generally translucent and covered with minute red dots (Fig. 1B). Eyes dark brown. Eggs yellowish green.

**Habitat.** – The majority of specimens were obtained by brushing coral and other reef substrates in depths between 5 and 33 m, whilst several others were obtained by the lumum lumun method in depths of 90–110 m (a method which involves submerging nets on the sea floor for about one month) and trawling. This appears to be in contradiction with previous notions on the ecology of this species, as members of the family are generally believed to be pelagic in nature (Gopalo Menon & Williamson, 1971; Poupin, 1998). Indeed, Chace (1985) stated “… usually on continental or insular shelves over depths of less than 100 m”, although Bruce (1984) considers the species common in coral colonies during the day and common in plankton catches off reefs at night. One of the authors noticed this discrepancy before (De Grave, 2001), when abundant material was obtained from breaking apart shallow water rubble in northern Papua New Guinea.

In order to investigate this further, we here review all previous records of the habitat of this species. The majority of early publications mentioning this species (e.g., Dana, 1852; Balss, 1914; Borradaile, 1915) do not mention habitat, merely stating location and/or depth, although the implication is that the specimens were caught on or adjacent to coral reefs in Borradaile (1917), Kemp (1925) and Holthuis (1953). Armstrong (1941) mentions that two male specimens were collected by hand net at the surface, next to a pier and a further specimen by a submerged light in the lagoon. Chace (1955) discusses specimens collected from 30–33 fathoms on a coral bottom, but does not specify the collecting method. One notable exception is De Man (1920) in which detailed substrate information is given for the Indonesian specimens collected during the Siboga expedition. Most specimens were obtained by dredge from shallow water (12–54 m) on either mixed or Lithothamnion bottoms, with three male specimens obtained from surface plankton. One male and 14 juveniles were taken by plankton net in water of 95 m depth at a single station in the Indian Ocean by Gopalo Menon & Williamson (1971), although larvae reported in this study were more common and widespread in the Indian Ocean, mostly in water less than 100 m. Further, Indonesian and Philippine specimens recorded by Chace (1985) were obtained by plankton net, either with the ship at anchor or over shallow water (9–18 m). In northern Papua New Guinea, De Grave (2001) collected numerous specimens from shallow (5–45 m) coral rubble and living coral colonies, with a single female collected from a 30–35 m deep light trap. Li & Komai (2003) recorded a single specimen collected by trawl on a muddy sand bottom (54 m) in the South China Sea, whilst De Grave & Moosa (2004) recorded the species from 10 m deep rubble samples in Sulawesi. The two most recent records are by Li (2006) who recorded a specimen from a 36 m deep coral reef in the Nansha Islands, collected by grab sampler, and Hayashi (2007) who recorded a specimen from off Kyushu, Japan, collected by Bou-uke-ami net (a pelagic fishing method) at night.

---

**Fig. 1.** A, *Chlorotocoides spinicauda* (De Man, 1902), stn. T4, male 9.0 mm; B, *Thalassocaris crinita* (Dana, 1852), stn. B4, female 4.5 mm.
In summary, it thus appears that the overwhelming majority of specimens recorded in the taxonomic literature, which have some level of habitat data, were collected from shallow water, directly on or from the actual substrate, usually coral reef rubble and similar habitats. Therefore, the common assumption that T. crinita is a bathypelagic (Poupin, 1998) or pelagic (Chace, 1985) species appears unwarranted, and the species should be considered as inhabiting shallow water, reefal environments. Nevertheless there are some records of planktonic catches, most of which are from very shallow water adjacent to reefs. Hidaka et al. (2003) and Karuppasamy et al. (2006) do record abundant nocturnal catches of the species with plankton nets in waters between 0–200 m deep south of Japan and in the eastern Arabian Sea respectively. It thus follows that, periodically at least, some individuals must leave their diurnal refuges and swim freely in the surrounding waters at night (as already discussed by Bruce, 1984). This ecological assumption would be consistent with the species (and presumably all members of the family) harbouring photophores (Kemp, 1925; Herring & Barnes, 1976), a rather unusual morphological trait, normally associated with deep-sea, pelagic shrimp species. Interestingly, in the eastern Arabian Sea, Karuppasamy et al. (2006) record a swarm with a density of 302 individuals per 1,000 m² in December (75 m water depth), but only 28 individuals in May (50 m water depth). This observation may indicate a reproductive role of this behaviour, one which is perhaps seasonally structured.

It seems that the only truly planktonic records of adults and juveniles are the specimens collected as part of the International Indian Ocean Expedition, mentioned in Gopalo Menon & Williamson (1971) in water of 95 m depth, as well as the recently recorded specimens in Hidaka et al. (2003) and Karuppasamy et al. (2006). This makes it rather unclear where the previously held assumption by taxonomists of T. crinita being a pelagic species derives from.
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