

OPINION

BOTULA KLEEMANNI VALENTICH-SCOTT, 2008, ACTUALLY A MEMBER OF LITHOPHAGA (LEIOSOLENUS)

Karl Kleemann

Department of Palaeontology, University of Vienna, Centre for Earth Sciences,
Althanstrasse 14, A1090 Vienna, Austria
Email: karl.kleemann@univie.ac.at

INTRODUCTION

Botula kleemanni Valentich-Scott, 2008 (Valentich-Scott & Tongkerd, 2008) does not show the generic characters of *Botula*, particularly a kidney-shaped and smooth shell with prominent terminal beaks, as given by Soot-Ryen (1955, 1969) and Kleemann (2007).

HISTORICAL BACKGROUND

Mörch (1853: 55) introduced *Botula* as subgenus of *Lithophaga* (for details see Kleemann, 2007: 4). Although Jousseaume (1888: 216) had placed *Botula* in generic rank, Dall (1898) treated *Botula* as a subgenus of *Modiolus* and designated *Modiola cinnamomea* Lamarck, 1819, as the type species of *Botula* (Kleemann, 2007: 4). Several authors, e.g. Soot-Ryen (1969), Savazzi (1982), Arnaud & Thomassin (1990) and Darga (1992), considered *Botula* a member of *Modiolinae* instead of *Lithophaginae*, to which it belongs (Wilson & Tait, 1984; Coan et al., 2000; Kleemann, 2007).

TAXONOMY

***Lithophaga (Leiosolenus) kleemanni* (Valentich-Scott, 2008)**

Botula kleemanni Valentich-Scott, 2008: 194, Fig. 2G–H, ? Fig. 2F, non Fig. 2I.

“Shell ellipsoid-elongate; beaks subterminal; periostracum usually dark brown, with heavy fibrous mat posterodorsally, extending past the posterior margin (Fig. 2G)” (Valentich-Scott & Tongkerd, 2008: 194). This shell configuration, the position of the beaks, the shell cover and its extension beyond

the shell rim does not apply to *Botula* but to *Lithophaga (Leiosolenus)*.

Regarding the original description, the following is stressed: Anterior is semicircular not “truncate”. “Beaks very inflated”. Those of the holotype may be more elevated from the ellipsoidal outline than in most other *Leiosolenus* species. But they need a terminal position and somewhat coiled form to support a determination as *Botula*. Both these features are lacking in the holotype of *kleemanni* (Valentich-Scott & Tongkerd, 2008: 193, Fig. 2G–H). The beaks are clearly sub-terminal, the ventral margin is straight to slightly convex and not concave as in *Botula*. The posterior shell end is somewhat attenuated and distinctly less high than the anterior, while in *Botula* both ends have about the same height.

“Holotype (specimen described above)” (Valentich-Scott & Tongkerd, 2008: 194) must read figured above. The legend cannot be regarded as a description. It reads “G–H, holotype, SBMNH 83123, length 12.35 mm: G, external left valve; H, internal right valve”. In the description, the shell length is given as “12.21 mm, plus 1.22 mm of periostracum extending past shell”. Thus, there is a difference of 1.08 mm between the initially given (total) length in the legend and the sum of shell length plus extension, amounting 13.43 mm.

The general similarity of the holotype of *kleemanni* with other *Leiosolenus* species is obvious because of the shell form and its postero-dorsal cover which is usually mainly calcareous and of a species-specific pattern (Kleemann, 1977, 1980, 1982, 1984, 1986, 1990, 1994, 2002, 2008; Kleemann & Hoeksema, 2002).

The similarity of *kleemanni* with the Caribbean *Lithophaga (Leiosolenus) appendiculata* (Philippi, 1846; synonyms *Lithodomus bisulcatus* Orbigny, 1853 and *bi-excavatus* Reeve, 1857) is particularly striking (Kleemann, submitted).

“F, in situ in living coral” (Valentich-Scott & Tongkerd 2008: Fig. 2), does not show a live coral. No remains of coral tissue can be recognized on the skeleton surface, having the same grey colour as the skeleton. A freshly split-open live coral would reveal coral tissue on the coral surface, distinctly darker in colour compared with the whitish skeletal interior below the uppermost live part. The sample appears dry when the picture was taken and the coral was likely dead when collected. Covered by detritus (and a fibrous mat?), the exposed bivalve is posteriorly flush with the coral surface. In contrast, *Botula* generally penetrates deep into the substrate, as can be seen in the adjacent Fig. 2E (Valentich-Scott & Tongkerd, 2008).

Odd records of *Botula* in live coral, e.g. Savazzi (1982), have to be considered as occurrences in dead parts of live coral (Kleemann, 1996). Valentich-Scott & Tongkerd (2008) claim that both, *Botula cinnamomea* and *kleemanni*, inhabit live coral, the latter exclusively.

“Fig. 2I, facultative siphon of living animal” (Valentich-Scott & Tongkerd, 2008), clearly depicts the extended inhalant siphon and the shell ends of a specimen lacking any posterior shell extensions or a fibrous cover as in Fig. 2G. Only some detrital sediment adheres on the shells. Therefore, I do not consider it as a member of *Lithophaga kleemanni*.

Valentich-Scott & Tongkerd (2008: 194) “**Comparisons.** – Easily separated from *Botula cinnamomea* and other members of the genus, by the heavy periostracal mat found along the posterodorsal margin of the shell.” How true, as *kleemanni* is a member of *Lithophaga* (*Leiosolenus*), it should be compared with those species.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

For the honour and undeserved respect I received from Paul Valentich-Scott I feel sorry to correct him.

LITERATURE CITED

Arnaud, P. M. & B. A. Thomassin, 1990. Habits and morphological adaptations of mytilids (Mollusca: Bivalvia) from coastal and reefal environments in southwest Malagasia (Indian Ocean). In: Morton, B. (ed.) *The Bivalvia – Proceedings of a Memorial Symposium in Honour of Sir Charles Maurice Yonge*, Edinburgh, 1986, Hong Kong University Press, Hong Kong, 333–344 pp.

Coan, E. V., Scott, P. V. & F. R. Bernard, 2000. Bivalve Seashells of Western North America Marine Bivalve Mollusks from Arctic Alaska to Baja California. *Santa Barbara Museum of Natural History Monographs Number 2, Studies in Biodiversity Number 2*: viii + 764.

Dall, W. H., 1889. A preliminary catalogue of the shell-bearing marine mollusks and brachiopods of the south-eastern coast of the United States, with illustrations of many of the species. *Bulletin of the United States National Museum*, **37**: 7–221, 74 Pls.

Darga, R., 1992. Geologie, Paläontologie und Palökologie der SO-bayerischen unterpriabonen (O-Eozän) Riffkalkvorkommen des Eisenrichtersteins bei Hallthurn (N Kalkalpen) und des Kirchbergs bei Neubeuern (Helvetikum). *Münchner Geowissenschaftliche Abhandlungen*, **A23**: 1–66.

Gmelin, J. F., 1791. Vermes. In: *Caroli a Linné Systema naturae per regna tria naturae*, Ed. 13, Lipsiae, **1**(6): 3021–3910.

Jousseaume, F., 1888. Description des Mollusques recueillis par M. Le Dr. Faurot dans la mer Rouge et le golfe d’Aden. *Mémoires de la Société Zoologique de France*, **1**: 165–223.

Kleemann, K. H., 1977. A new species of *Lithophaga* (Bivalvia) from the Great Barrier Reef, Australia. *Veliger*, **20**: 151–154.

Kleemann, K. H., 1980. Boring bivalves and their host corals from the Great Barrier Reef. *Journal of Molluscan Studies*, **46**:13–54.

Kleemann, K. H., 1982. Ätzmuscheln im Ghetto? *Lithophaga* (Bivalvia) aus dem Leithakalk (Mittel-Miozän: Badenian) von Müllendorf im Wiener Becken, Österreich. *Beiträge zur Paläontologie von Österreich*, **9**: 211–231.

Kleemann, K. H., 1984. *Lithophaga* (Bivalvia) from dead coral from the Great Barrier Reef, Australia. *Journal of Molluscan Studies*, **50**: 192–230.

Kleemann, K., 1986. Lithophagines (Bivalvia) from the Caribbean and the Eastern Pacific. *Proceedings of the 8th International Malacological Congress, Budapest 1983*, **1986**: 113–118.

Kleemann, K., 1990. Boring and growth of chemically boring bivalves from the Caribbean, Eastern Pacific and Australia’s Great Barrier Reef. *Senckenbergiana maritima*, **21**:101–154.

Kleemann, K., 1996. Biocorrosion by bivalves. *P.S.Z.N. I: Marine Ecology*, **17**: 145–158.

Kleemann, K., 2007. Catalogue and Bibliography of Recent and fossil *Botula* (Bivalvia: Mytilidae). *Zootaxa*, **1508**: 1–48.

Kleemann, K., 2008. *Lithophaga* (*Leiosolenus*) *purpurea* (Bivalvia: Mytilidae): one species becomes three. *Club Conchylia Informationen*, **39**(3/4): 32–45

Kleemann, K., (in press). Application to conserve *Modiola cinnamomea* Lamarck, 1819 as the type species of mytilid bivalve *Botula* Mörch, 1853. *Bulletin of Zoological Nomenclature*.

Kleemann, K., (submitted). *Lithodomus bisulcata* Orbigny, 1853, a junior synonym of *Modiola appendiculata* Philippi, 1846. *Club Conchylia Informationen*, **40**(1/2).

Lamarck, J. B. P. A. de M. de, 1819. *Histoire naturelle des animaux sans vertebres*, Verdière, Paris, **6**: 343 pp.

Mörsch, O. A. L., 1852–53. *Catalogus Conchyliorum quae reliquit D. Alphonso D’Aguirra et Gadea Comes de Yoldi*, Copenhagen, Fasc. **2**: iv + 74 pp.

Orbigny, A. d’, 1841–53. Mollusques. In: Sagra, *Histoire physique, politique et naturelle de l’île de Cuba*, **2**: 1–380, Atlas. 28 + 1 Colour Pls.

Philippi, R. A., 1846–47. *Modiola*. In: *Abbildungen und Beschreibungen neuer oder wenig gekannter Conchylien*, **2**(5): 1/147–4/150, Pl. 1 (1846); **3**(1): 5/18–8/22, Pl. 2 (1847).

Reeve, L. A., 1857–58. Monograph of the genus *Lithodomus*. In: *Conchologia Iconica*, **10**: 5 Pls. (+ descriptions). London, Reeve Brothers. [Pl. 1, Mar. 1858, Pl. 2–4 Oct.1857, Pl. 5, Jan.1858].

Savazzi, E., 1982. Commensalism between a boring mytilid bivalve and a soft bottom coral in the Upper Eocene of northern Italy. *Paläontologische Zeitschrift*, **56**(3/4): 165–175.

- Soot-Ryen, T., 1955. A report on the family Mytilidae (Pelecypoda). *Allan Hancock Pacific Expeditions*, **20**: 1–175.
- Soot-Ryen, T. 1969. Superfamily Mytilacea Rafinesquae, 1815. In: Moore, R. C. (ed.) *Treatise on Invertebrate Paleontology. Part N1, Mollusca 6, Bivalvia*, The Geological Society of America and University of Kansas Press, Lawrence, Kansas, N271–N280.
- Valentich-Scott, P. & P. Tongkerd, 2008. Coral-boring bivalve molluscs of southeastern Thailand, with the description of a new species. *Raffles Bulletin of Zoology*, Supplement No. **18**: 191–216.
- Wilson, B. R. & R. Tait, 1984. Systematics, anatomy and boring mechanisms of the rock-boring mytilid bivalve *Botula*. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of Victoria*, **96**: 113–125.